

[local MP]

20 September 2021

Dear

### Remote Consultations in General Practice

I am writing to you on behalf of GP practices in Berkshire, Buckinghamshire, and Oxfordshire (BBO) to provide you with facts that will enable your informed discussions about the role of telephone consultations in General Practice, the wider issues of access that are so publicly being raised, and to ask for your support in countering the anti-GP sentiment being expressed by some aspects of the media and public forums.

Whilst 56% of all general practice appointments are face-to-face (F2F)<sup>i</sup>, and most GPs prefer F2F consulting, the advantages of a hybrid model that includes remote consulting – such as telephone or video calls – are considerable for improved access and safety in the context of massive demand. Local audit shows the average telephone consultation takes eight minutes; the average face-to-face for the same problem takes 14 minutes. The efficiency saving means more people have their health needs met. Telephone call lists of patients who have let our call handlers know what they wish to consult about allows clinicians to triage, identifying those who are most sick to be attended to first, with time freed up to see them F2F if necessary. It identifies those whose symptoms may be a risk to others (through any contagious respiratory illness) and allows practices to ensure they are attended to safely by dedicated means before the harm is done. Meanwhile, those waiting for a call can get on with their day – something many patients value. It also protects the productivity of the organisations where they work and reduces unnecessary travel. Forty-five percent of all appointments in general practice are booked and attended to the same day<sup>ii</sup>. Practices who use predominantly an on-the-day booking system have eradicated the three-week wait times for appointments that impaired access pre-pandemic. At the beginning of the pandemic General Practice changed overnight to ensure patient access was protected and safe. We note some hospital departments, such as routine ENT and cataracts clinics at the Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, remain closed 18-months on. The unmet need from closed or over-burdened hospital services is carried by General Practice in addition to its own pressures. Most hospital clinics consult remotely.

There have always been legitimate questions to be asked about the relative safety-profiles of different modes of consulting, and this has been a focus of GP training even pre-pandemic. They are not a panacea. But answers must be driven by data, not anecdote. Informed opinions must consider the current demand, the increased efficiency and triage through remote consulting, the profiles of the populations those practices serve, the types of issues being consulted for, and the input from practice *patient participation groups*. Remote consultations were a central tenet of the NHS Long Term Plan for “fast access to convenient primary care” pre-pandemic<sup>iii</sup>, were heavily promoted by a previous health secretaries<sup>iv</sup>, and heavily invested in by NHS England<sup>v</sup>.

Local audit of a city practice in 2014 showed that for every four hours of contracted opening time, the average clinician spent 6 hours 40 minutes logged into the medical records system. The range was 5 hours 10 minutes to 7 hours 40 minutes. These times did not capture the significant work done outside of the medical records. There is no resource for paid overtime. Local GPs are saying that the current workload is “the worst it has ever been”. One local network of practices reports a 95% increase in consultations this summer compared to summer 2019. GPs contracted to work full-time often drop their sessional commitments simply to fit the work into sustainable hours and avoid burn-out. Average burnout scores among GPs are higher than those for any other medical specialty other than emergency medicine<sup>vi</sup>. ‘Part time working’ does little justice to the reality.

